

# Public Document Pack

**Date of meeting** Tuesday, 28th January, 2014  
**Time** 7.00 pm  
**Venue** Council Chamber, Civic Offices, Merrial Street,  
Newcastle-under-Lyme, Staffordshire, ST5 2AG  
**Contact** Geoff Durham

## Planning Committee

### ADVANCE SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

#### PART 1 – OPEN AGENDA

4a Land South of Apedale Road and North of Palatine Drive (Pages 1 - 2)  
Chesterton. 13/00525/OUT

**Members:** Councillors Miss Baker, Cairns, Clarke (Chair), Fear, Hambleton,  
Mrs Hambleton, Howells, Matthews, Miss Reddish, Stringer (Vice-Chair),  
Studd, Sweeney, Turner, Williams and Mrs Williams

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Council Chamber and Committee Room 1 are fitted with a loop system. In addition, there is a volume button on the base of the microphones. A portable loop system is available for all other rooms upon request.

**Members of the Council:** If you identify any personal training/development requirements from any of the items included in this agenda or through issues raised during the meeting, please bring them to the attention of the Democratic Services Officer at the close of the meeting.

**Meeting Quorums :-** 16+= 5 Members; 10-15=4 Members; 5-9=3 Members; 5 or less = 2 Members.

Officers will be in attendance prior to the meeting for informal discussions on agenda items.

This page is intentionally left blank

**ADVANCE SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT**  
**TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE**  
**28<sup>th</sup> January 2014**

**Agenda item 4**

**Application ref 13/00525/OUT**

**Land South of Apedale Road and North of Palatine Drive Chesterton.**

The **Conservation Advisory Working Party** has no objections to the proposal requesting they are provided with updates in respect of any archaeological finding on the site.

Since the publication of the agenda report, although a draft report has been received from the District Valuer regarding his appraisal of the viability of the development to fund a policy compliant scheme, clarification on a number of matters is being sought.

Your officer's comments

Your Officer is in discussion with the District Valuer and it is not expected that the District Valuer's Final Report will be received before the Committee, let alone in sufficient time for the applicant to have a reasonable opportunity to consider and comment, and for your Officer to be in a position to properly advise the Committee.

In the circumstances it is considered both appropriate and reasonable for the Planning Committee to defer making a decision on this proposal until a future meeting.

Your Officer's recommendation is therefore **altered**, and is now **to defer the determination** of the planning application until your Officer is in a position to advise the Committee

This page is intentionally left blank